### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SIMPLIVITY CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

C.A. NO. 4:15-cv-13345-TSH

SPRINGPATH, INC.,

Defendant.

# DEFENDANT SPRINGPATH, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF HEARING TRANSCRIPT PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 201

Louis Tompros (BBO # 657791) Anant Saraswat (BBO # 676048) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000 Fax: (617) 526-5000

louis.tompros@wilmerhale.com anant.saraswat@wilmerhale.com Mark Flanagan (admitted pro hac vice) Keith Slenkovich (admitted pro hac vice) Jason Kipnis (admitted pro hac vice) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 950 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 858-6000 Fax: (650) 858-6100

mark.flanagan@wilmerhale.com keith.slenkovich@wilmerhale.com jason.kipnis@wilmerhale.com

Counsel for Defendant Springpath, Inc.

Dated: February 26, 2016

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND RELIEF SOUGHT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Defendant Springpath, Inc. ("Springpath") respectfully requests the Court to take judicial notice of the transcript of the January 26, 2016 Motion Hearing before this Court on Springpath's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint ("Motion Hearing Transcript"), which is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Anant Saraswat, Esq. in Support of Defendant Springpath, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), filed concurrently herewith. The transcript is a court record and thus properly subject to judicial notice. Moreover, the transcript is properly before this Court on consideration of Springpath's pending Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.

#### II. ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b)(2) states that this Court "may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it . . . can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(2). The Motion Hearing Transcript is a record of this Court, and a court may "take judicial notice of its own records." *United States v. Pelletier*, 469 F.3d 194, 203 (1st Cir. 2006). Thus, the Court should take judicial notice of the Motion Hearing Transcript.

Furthermore, it is proper for the Court to consider the Motion Hearing Transcript at this point in the case. The Motion Hearing Transcript is relied on in Springpath's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, filed concurrently herewith. When adjudicating a motion to dismiss, the Court can consider "documents incorporated by reference [in the complaint], matters of public record, and other matters susceptible to judicial notice." *Giragosian v. Ryan*, 547 F.3d 59, 65 (1st Cir. 2008).

## III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Defendant requests this Court to enter an order taking judicial notice of the Motion Hearing Transcript.

Dated: February 26, 2016 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Louis Tompros

Louis Tompros (BBO # 657791) Anant Saraswat (BBO # 676048) Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000

Fax: (617) 526-5000

louis.tompros@wilmerhale.com
anant.saraswat@wilmerhale.com
Mark Flanagan (admitted pro hac vice)
Keith Slenkovich (admitted pro hac vice)
Jason Kipnis (admitted pro hac vice)
950 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 858-6000 Fax: (650) 858-6100

mark.flanagan@wilmerhale.com keith.slenkovich@wilmerhale.com jason.kipnis@wilmerhale.com

Counsel for Defendant Springpath, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Anant Saraswat, hereby certify that a true copy of the attached was served upon the
attorney of record for each other party through the Court's CM/ECF system on February 26,
2016.

/s/ Anant Saraswat
Anant Saraswat